



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9569	
09/758,699	01/11/2001	Hideo Okada	55504(551)		
7:	590 09/04/2003				
	STEIN, ROBERTS A	EXAMINER			
EDWARDS AT	AL PROPERTY PRACT	WACHSMAN, HAL D			
P.O. BOX 9169		,			
BOSTON, MA 02209		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2857		
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					RF	
		Application N	о.	Applicant(s)		
		09/758,699		OKADA, HIDEO		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Hal D Wachsm	an	2857		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cov	er sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
Period for I	• •	/ IC CET TO E	VDIDE AMONTH	S) EDOM		
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the period of the period	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Find for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply riod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, ho within the statutory r will apply and will expi cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim ninimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.	
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 J	<u>lune 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	is action is non	-final.			
3) 🗌 💲	Since this application is in condition for allowards to seed in accordance with the practice under a	nce except for Ex parte Quayl	formal matters, pr e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	osecution as to th 53 O.G. 213.	ne merits is	
Disposition						
•	laim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-17,19-23,25-33,35-38,40-47,</u>			the application.		
) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav					
5)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1,3-7,9-17,19-23,33,35-38,40-47,49-5</u>	<u>56 and 58-60</u> is	/are allowed.			
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>61</u> is/are rejected.			•		
•	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□ C Application	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or n Papers	r election requi	rement.			
9)∐ Th	e specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s) filed on 11 January 2001 is/are:	a) accepted	or b)⊡ objected to t	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	e proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examin	er.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)∐ Th	e oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
-	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)⊠	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	ceived.			
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been re	ceived in Applicati	on No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) [☐ The translation of the foreign language pro knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	visional applica	ation has been rec	eived.		
Attachment(s		, ,				
1) Notice of	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) [Interview Summary Notice of Informal R Other:	v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2857

1. Claim 40 is objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c) for failing to refer back to and further limit another claim. Claim 40 depends from claim 39 which was cancelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 2

2. Claims 17, 23, 25-32, 38, 40-47, 49-56 and 58-60 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Claim 17, lines 12-13, cites "the detected history" which it appears should be "the determined history". The last line of claim 23 cites "the value remaining in said electrical apparatus" which should be "the residual value remaining in said electrical apparatus". Claim 38, line 20, cites "said variation patterns" which lacks clear antecedent basis. Claim 41, line 4, in two locations, cites "the value" which it appears should be "the residual value". This same type of problem also occurs in claim 52, line 15, claim 53, line 6. Claim 47, in the preamble indicates a recording medium having instructions however it is not clear how the functionality of the recording medium with programmed instructions is being realized if it is not being executed on a general purpose computer for example. This same type of problem also occurs in the preamble of claim 52. Claim 47, line 5, cites "... to perform the steps of for" but was it actually intended to be here "... to perform the steps of"? Claim 54, line 3, cites "said patterns of variations of residual values" which lacks antecedent basis in claim 52. The examiner asks the applicant to better claim the limitations cited above. While the examiner understands the intentions of the applicant he feels confusion could be drawn from the limitations cited above. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/758,699 Page 3

Art Unit: 2857

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Soga et al. (5,867,809) in view of the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art.

As per claim 61, Soga et al. (Abstract, col. 4 lines 50-67, col. 12 lines 12-34) disclose outputting the value remaining in the electrical apparatus and calculated by the calculating circuit. It appears though that Soga et al. does not clearly disclose the remaining features of this claim. However, the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art (page 1 lines 25-27, page 2 lines 8-10 of the specification) teaches these excepted features. It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art to the invention of Soga et al. as specified above because as taught by the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art (page 1 lines 12-14 of the specification) in recent years, attention has been given on reduction of refuse, garbage or the like for environmental conservation. The refuse or the like can be reduced by reusing or recycling products.

5. Claim 61 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ketonen et al. (6,349,268) in view of the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art.

As per claim 61, Ketonen et al. (see at least abstract) disclose outputting the value remaining in the electrical apparatus and calculated by the calculating circuit. It appears though that Ketonen et al. does not clearly disclose the remaining features of this claim. However, the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art (page 1 lines 25-27, page 2 lines 8-10 of the specification) teaches these excepted features. It would have been obvious to person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art to the invention of Ketonen et al. as specified above because as taught by the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art (page 1 lines 12-14 of the specification) in recent years, attention has been given on reduction of refuse, garbage or the like for environmental conservation. The refuse or the like can be reduced by reusing or recycling products.

6. Claims 1, 3-7, 9-17, 19-23, 25-33, 35-38, 40-47, 49-56 and 58-60 are allowed subject to the appropriate correction of the 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) and 37 C.F.R. 1.75(c) objections noted above.

Claims 1 and 3-6 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest a detecting circuit that issues an electrical signal corresponding to the detected state of an electrical apparatus, the detecting circuit including a circuit for detecting an elapsed time from at least one of the date of manufacture of the electrical apparatus and the date of first use of the electrical apparatus, issuing an electrical signal corresponding to the detected elapsed time with a determining circuit that determines a history of use of the electrical apparatus based on the electrical signal sent from the detecting circuit.

Art Unit: 2857

Claims 7 and 9-16 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: a storage circuit, connected to a calculating circuit that calculates the residual value remaining in an electrical apparatus, for distinguishing parts forming the electrical apparatus based on patterns of variations of residual values during an elapsed time, and for storing the patterns of variations of residual values and the distinguished parts forming the electrical apparatus based thereon, wherein the calculating circuit integrates values remaining in the respective parts forming the electrical apparatus based on the history of use and the patterns of variations of the residual values stored in the storage circuit, and calculates the residual value remaining in the electrical apparatus.

Claims 17 and 19-22 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: a means for detecting at state of an electrical apparatus and issuing an electrical signal corresponding to the detected state in which the detecting means includes a means for detecting an elapsed time from at least one of the date of manufacture of the electrical apparatus and the date of first use of the electrical apparatus, and for issuing an electrical signal corresponding to the detected elapsed time with a means for determining a history of use of the electrical apparatus based on the electrical signal sent from the detecting means.

Claims 23 and 25-32 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: a means for distinguishing parts forming an electrical apparatus based on patterns of variations of residual values during an elapsed time, and for storing the patterns of variations of residual values and the distinguished parts based

Art Unit: 2857

theron, wherein a calculating means integrates values remaining in the respective parts forming the electrical apparatus based on a determined history of use of the electrical apparatus and the patterns of variations of stored residual values and calculating the residual value remaining in the electrical apparatus.

Claims 33 and 35-37 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest detecting a state of an electrical apparatus and issuing an electrical signal corresponding to the detected state; detecting an elapsed time from at least one of the date of manufacture of the electrical apparatus and the date of first use of the electrical apparatus with the issuing of an electrical signal corresponding to the detected elapsed time to be used in determining the history of use of the electrical apparatus.

Claims 38 and 40-46 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: distinguishing parts forming an electrical apparatus based on patterns of variations of residual values with respect to time elapsing, and preparing the patterns of variations of residual values and the parts based thereon, wherein calculating the residual value includes integrating values remaining in the respective parts forming the electrical apparatus based on the history of use of the electrical apparatus and the patterns of variations of residual values, and calculating the residual value remaining in the electrical apparatus.

Claims 47 and 49-51 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: detecting a state of an electrical apparatus and issuing an electrical signal corresponding to the detected state; detecting an elapsed time from at

Application/Control Number: 09/758,699 Page 7

Art Unit: 2857

least one of the date of manufacture of the electrical apparatus and the date of first use of the electrical apparatus with the issuing of an electrical signal corresponding to the detected elapsed time to be used in determining the history of use of the electrical apparatus.

Claims 52-56 and 58-60 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: detecting a state of an electrical apparatus and issuing an electrical signal corresponding to the detected state; detecting an elapsed time from at least one of the date of manufacture of the electrical apparatus and the date of first use of the electrical apparatus with the issuing of an electrical signal corresponding to the detected elapsed time to be used in determining the history of use of the electrical apparatus.

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 6-24-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to the art rejections of claim 61. No arguments were presented with respect to the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 61 using Soga et al. in view of the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art and the 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection of claim 61 using Ketonen et al. in view of the Applicant's Admissions of the prior art.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/758,699 Page 8

Art Unit: 2857

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal D Wachsman whose telephone number is 703-305-9788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 703-308-1677. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2857

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Hal D Wachsman
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2857

HW August 30, 2003



UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trauemark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR /	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
CONTROL NO.		PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

10

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2857